



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,897	07/21/2006	Francois Lacombe	1606.75574	4776
24978	7590	09/14/2009	EXAMINER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			SAHLE, MAHIDERE S	
300 S WACKER DR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
25TH FLOOR			2873	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			MAIL DATE	
			09/14/2009	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/586,897	LACOMBE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	MAHIDERE S. SAHLE	2873

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) MAHIDERE S. SAHLE. (3) CHRIS HERMANSON.  
 (2) JESSICA STULTZ. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 September 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.11 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Fercher (USP No. 5,877,856) and Wei et al. (USPG Pub No. 2003/0218755).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Differences between current application and prior art were discussed. As a result, it was decided that specifying the location of the measurement, reference and output arms with respect to the elements in the system would assist in overcoming the rejection of the independent claims with respect to the prior art references.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jessica T Stultz/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

/Mahidere S Sahle/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2873